Regional Corpus of Modern Standard Arabic

Ahmed Abdelali

Computing Research Laboratory New Mexico State University Box 30001/MSC 3CRL Las Cruces, NM 88001 +1 (505) 646 5711 ahmed@crl.nmsu.edu Jim Cowie

Computing Research Laboratory New Mexico State University Box 30001/MSC 3CRL Las Cruces, NM 88001 +1 (505) 646 5711 jcowie@crl.nmsu.edu

ABSTRACT

Until recently, only two Arabic corpora were commonly available for researchers: the Agence France-Presse (AFP) Arabic newswire from Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC) and the Al-Hayat newspaper collection from the European Language Resources Distribution Agency (ELDA). The availability of a suitable corpus is a key for much objective research in language engineering or any other Natural Language-related disciplines.

This paper presents experimental results of comparing corpora for Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) collected from samples of online published newspapers from different Arabic countries. The results of the experiments show significant differences in vocabulary and styles within different regions. Comprehensives studies of these differences will allow more understanding for the language and has implications on different computational and linguistic related research. Developing adequate resources is more crucial than ever to carry this task further.

Keywords

Modern Standard Arabic (MSA), Language variation.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is important to realize that what we typically refer to as "Arabic" is not a single linguistic variety; rather, it is a collection of different dialects and sociolects. Classical Arabic is an older literary form of the language, exemplified by the type of Arabic used in the Quran. MSA is a version of Classical Arabic with a modernized vocabulary. MSA is a formal standard common to all Arabic-speaking countries. It is the language used in the media (newspapers, radio, TV), in official speeches, in courtrooms, and generally speaking, in any kind of formal communication. However, it is not used for everyday, informal communication which is typically carried out in one of the local dialects.

With the huge amount of data published daily in Arabic over the net and other media [19], it becomes necessary to develop a tools and resources that would help mastering Arabic. Studying the language variations or identifying the different geographical sub-areas within the area where the language is being used will help us know more about the language. In one aspect, the study will provide guidance for the right way to search or query in Arabic, avoiding some of the ambiguity that can be generated from the different senses and the different usages the word might have.

The importance of studying the language impacts a variety of language processing tasks; for example, Information Retrieval (IR), Information Extraction (IE), Machine Translation (MT) and other Natural Language Processing (NLP) disciplines, and could be broadened to other crucial applications in economics, socials, and politics. We investigate this concern using Statistical language Models (SLM) for its successful applications and its abilities to simulate the problem [5, 7, 17].

2. Language Identification and recognition

Anyone with a limited knowledge of foreign languages quickly identifies lexical differences between the languages which can be either orthographical, morphological. In English it is typical to see the, and, and of. In Spanish de, los, el, or in French -ueux or -isme. But -ation is found frequently in both French and English. Many scientists were interested in these phenomena and have explored the issue from different perspectives. One of the major approaches is based on statistical language modeling. Markov Models are used to estimate the probability of a sentence. By training different language model for each language, the conditional probability for a new sentence to be generated from the language model can be computed. These probabilities can be compared to make a prediction. Almost all of language modeling research is based on the fact that it is difficult to estimate probabilities for large order Markov models; this is called the data sparseness problem. To overcome this difficulty, typically trigram models are used and probabilities are smoothed to overcome issues with unseen terms. This processing can be done on the word or character level. While Grefenstette [11] compared common words and common trigrams he did not fully describe his methodology; however from context it would appear to have been a zeroth-order Markov model based on either words or trigrams. Dunning [8] made a more exhaustive comparison using models of order zero-through six on characters (i.e., from single letters to sequences of 7 letters); he also found that trigrams work well. Cavnar and Trenkle [5] tested an n-gram text categorization system on a collection of Usenet newsgroup articles written in different languages and a set of articles from different computer oriented newsgroups. The language classification system achieved 99.8% correct classification. McNamee [21] described a system to identify language using data obtained from the World Wide Wed which achieved an accuracy approaching 100% on a test comprised of ten European languages.

3. Statistical Language Modeling

The goal of Statistical Language Modeling is to build a model that can estimate the distribution of natural language as accurate as possible. A statistical language model (SLM) is a probability distribution P(s) over strings S that attempts to reflect how frequently a string S occurs as a sentence [6].

By expressing various language phenomena in terms of simple parameters in a statistical model, SLMs provide an easy way to deal with complex natural language.

Applications of SLMs includes speech recognition, SLMs also play a vital role in various other natural language applications as diverse as machine translation, part-of-speech tagging, intelligent input method and Text To Speech system, among the classic models are:

- · N-gram models
- N-class models

• Probabilistic context-free grammars (PCFG)

In n-gram language models, each word depends probabilistically on the n-1 preceding words: $P(w1...wn) = \prod P(wi | wi-n+1...wi-1)$

The n-gram model assigns to each string the probability of that string in relation to all other strings of the same length (i.e., probabilities for strings of the same length sum to 1), which means that it will overestimate sentence probabilities in comparison to, e.g., a probabilistic context-free grammar. The usual method of correction is to insert a special "end-of-sentence" word at the end of each sentence. The n-gram model also allows the whole test corpus to be "parsed" as a single string (including "end-of-sentence" words), which will allow the model to take into account dependencies that span sentence boundaries. Alternatively, sentences can be "parsed" one by one and the probabilities multiplied afterwards.

To evaluate a language model (LM), it is common to use the information theory quantity of entropy to get an estimate of how good a LM might be. Entropy and perplexity which are defined in terms of probability, the corpus probability can be computed as the product of the sentence probabilities:

 $P(Corpus|Model) = P(S1,...,Sn|Model) = \prod P(Si|Model)$

It is also possible to view the entire corpus as a single string and compute the corpus probability as a simple string probability:

P(Corpus|Model) = P(w1,...,wn|Model)

The entropy in communication is a guide to determine the efficient codes for sending messages. This could be related to language by returning to the idea that the entropy is a measure of our uncertainty. The more we know about something the lower the entropy will be because we are less surprised by the outcome of our trail. In the speech recognition community, people tend to refer to perplexity rather than entropy (Manning and Schütze, 1999). The relation between the perplexity and entropy is:

perplexity (x1n,m) = 2H(x1n,m)= m(x1n)1/n

4. Facts about Regional Variations in Modern Standard Arabic

Very little is known about Modern Standard Arabic, the assumption is that Modern Standard Arabic is the uniform language used over the Arab speaking countries. Although local dialects and colloquial languages were extensively been studies as earlier as 19th century.

Kirchhoff [14] reports "Arabic dialects are classified into two major groups: Western Arabic, which includes the dialects spoken in Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, and Libya, and Eastern Arabic, which can be further subdivided into Egyptian, Levantine, and Gulf Arabic. These various dialects differ considerably from each other and from Modern Standard Arabic. Differences affect all levels of language, i.e. pronunciation, phonology, vocabulary, morphology, and syntax. Table 1 lists examples of the differences between Egyptian Colloquial Arabic (ECA) and Modern Standard Arabic.... However, widely differing dialects, such as Moroccan Arabic and the Iraqi dialect, may hinder communication to the extent that speakers adopt Modern Standard Arabic Arabic as a lingua franca".

1114010									
ECA	Change	Gloss							
/talata/	/th/→/s/,/t/	three							
/dahab/	$/dh/\rightarrow /z/,/d/$	gold							
/seif/	$/ay/\rightarrow /ei/$	summer							
yitkallim	inflections	he speaks							
tarabeeza	vocabulary	table							
SVO	word order								
	/talata/ /dahab/ /seif/ yitkallim tarabeeza	/talata//th/ \rightarrow /s/,/t//dahab//dh/ \rightarrow /z/,/d//seif//ay/ \rightarrow /ei/yitkalliminflectionstarabeezavocabulary							

Table 1: Some differences between Modern Standard Arabic and Egyptian Colloquial Arabic

The assumption about MSA could not be verified in the absence of an adequate corpus that would support the assumption or reject it. Minimal work was done in the area, for either assessing the language itself or comparing its features to other languages. Goweder A and De Roeck A. [10] produced an Arabic corpus using 42591 articles from Al-Hayat newspaper archive of the year 1998. The experiment was mainly to reproduce and confirm results made on small-scale corpus about the sparseness of Arabic compared to English. In 2001 LDC released the Arabic Newswire, a corpus composed of articles from AFP Arabic Newswire. The corpus was tagged using SGML and was transcoded to Unicode (UTF-8). The corpus includes articles from 13 May 1994 to 20 December 2000 with approximately 76 Million tokens and 666,094 unique words. Abdelali et al. [2] discussed issues related to AFP corpus used in TREC conferences. In 2003 LDC also released Arabic Gigaword a bigger and richer corpora compiled from different sources that includes Agence France Presse, Al Hayat News Agency, Al Nahar News Agency and Xinhua News Agency. There is no significant information about this new collection.

Each Arabic speaking country or region also has its own variety of colloquial spoken Arabic. These colloquial varieties of Arabic appear in written form in some poetry, cartoons and comics, plays and personal letters. The colloquial variations are reflected at some level in the Standard Arabic language itself. Many things such as other native languages, accessibility of the area, and the events happening in the region over the time affected the language significantly.

Some of the effects are reflected in lexicon variants, proper names and word usage in different areas. Some of the Arabic literatures have recognized the existence of these variants. But no work has been done to study the phenomena or its implications.

Filali [9] discussed different translations of the Latin word "space". Some linguist in the Middle East translated it as "المكان". In morocco it was translated to "الفضاء" and in Algeria

Al Samrae [3] in his visit to Tunisia noticed that they use different naming and terminology than the way he used to know it back in Iraq. In his book he recorded his experience and titled a chapter of the book as "The Tunisian Arabic".

Maamouri [18] reports that the emergence today, of *alarabiyya* as a culturally defined set of linguistic resources including the sum of old and new linguistic varieties in use in each given Arab country, shows that there are going to be as many varieties of official 'Arabics' in the region and therefore, as many 'fushas' or fusha standards as there are Arab countries. The vagueness of the language officialization and the unclear definition of the legal status of the term used may not prove to be detrimental after all. It may lead to the individual choice of each Arab country to adapt its language officialization and its status planning policy and measures to the specific requirements of its own diglossia situation.

In a study for an in house built Arabic Corpora, Von Mol [25] reports The expression at the same time can be expressed as "fi-l waqt nafsihi". or as "fi nafs il-waqt". According to some Arabs, the expression "fi-l waqt nafsihi" is considered to be a flaw... The construction "fi nafs il-waqt" in general does occur almost with the same frequency as the construction "fi-l waqt nafsihi". However, the differentiation by country learns that the construction "fi-l waqt nafsihi" has completely disappeared in the sample of Algeria, that we have the same distribution in the Saudi Arabian corpus, but that the construction "fi-l waqt nafsihi" is most intensively used on the radio in Egypt where in 2/3 of the occurrences this form is used.

A user of Microsoft products can notice the different names for months used in the local calendars for every Arab country. Appendix A contains a table for months per Arab country.

Also, readers could notice in the following examples words that are used in one region rather than others, or are used in different meanings, Tables 4 and 5 show examples of term usage to refer to the same subject. Tables 6 and 7 refer to names used in different regions for the same object or entity. Tables 8 and 9 show examples of words that carry different meanings in different regions.

English Word	El-khabar Algeria	Addustur Jordan	Hayat London اقامة	
Dormitory	مراقد	عنبر		

Table 4. Example of "dormitory"

English Word	El-khabar Algeria	Al-anbaa Morocco		
Arrest	توقيف	حجز		
Tend to fall	معرضة للسقوط	أيلة للسقوط		

Table 5. Examples of "arrest" and "tend to fall"

Table 6. Example of naming differences "Ministry of Education"

Egypt, Saudi Arabia	Qatar, Kuwait, Bahrain, Jordan	Mauritania
وزارة المعارف	وزارة التربية والتعليم	وزارة التهذيب

Table 7. Example of naming differences "Ministry of religious/Islamic affairs"

Egypt, Algeria, UAE	Kuwait, Qatar				
وزارة الشنون الدينية	وزارة الأوقاف والشنون الإسلامية				

Table 8. Example of usage differences for word "ملاحم"

Sense	Word	Sentence	Country
fierce battles; epics	الملاحم	ولكن افتقار الأدب العربي لهذا اللون من الشعر لا يعني عدم احتواءه على المعاني والمفردات كالبطولة والشجاعة والفخر والحماسة التي تتصف بها لمرحد المعروفة	Algeria
butcheries	الملاحم	كُما ينفذ قسم المراقبة الصحية حملات تفتيش واسعة النطاق على محلات الاستهلاك الأدمى المتمثلة في بقالات بيع المواد الغذائية والمذحد والمخابز والمطاعم ومحلات الحلاقة	

Sense	Word	Word Sentence				
rotating, turning	دو ار	الجرج الصحوة من المعالم والمواقع الهامة والحيوية حيث يربط معظم ولايات السلطنة بمحافظة مسقط	Oman			
vertigo	الدو ار	كذلك تحور بالناتج عن أمراض عصبية بكالإصابات المركزية في الدماغ و المخيخ	Syria			
Bedouin camp,village	الدوار	و على بعد خطوات من مسكن أبويه اقترب منه شخصان، اعتقد في البداية أن الأمر يتعلق باثنين من أبناء الدوار،	Morocco			

تدوار" Table 9. Example of usage differences for word

From previous examples and citation, it is clear that the variations are related to geography, the phenomenon could be a modern projection of what earlier Arab linguistics have studied. Books like "Al Furuq Al Lugjwiyah" of Abi Hilal Al Askari هند وي اللغوية لأبي هلال العسكري and "Fiqh Allughah" of Al Thaalibi "فقه اللغة الثعاليي" are examples of medieval literature that focused on the same trends. Studying the issue in modern context requires adequate resources that reflect the current language.

For this purpose, we mined text from newspapers and news services from different Arab speaking countries to be used as test bed corpus. We encountered some difficulties in getting common newspapers in parts of the region: either they were not available in electronic format or if they were available, we could not obtain the text in an appropriate format to analyze. Quite a few websites publish their content in PDF files, from which Arabic text cannot be easily reconstituted. In these cases, we had to replace the most common newspapers or news sources in an area with other less common, which were at least available in reasonable quantity. Table 10 shows the countries from which we collected newspapers.

Source	URL	Number of files	Size (Kb)	Country	
Ahram	www.ahram.org.eg	1567	10348	Egypt	
Alraialaam	www.alraialaam.com	390	15784	Kuwait	
Alwatan	www.alwatan.com	10932	141636	Oman	
Aps	www.aps.dz	7408	68508	Algeria	
Assafir	www.assafir.com	13914	77290	L.ebanon	
Jazirah	www.al-jazirah.com	3723	28296	Saudi Arabia	
Morocco	www.morocco-today.info	17196	165266	Morocco	
Petra	www.petra.gov.jo	3567	20960	Jordan	
Raya	www.raya.com	270	7740	Qatar	
Teshreen	www.teshreen.com	33703	403228	Syria	
Uruklink	www.uruklink.net	9464	129688	Iraq	

Table 10. List of news sources and countries of origin

We did not consider the number of readers, or the popularity of the selected papers selected. Our choices were mainly governed by the considerations of availability already mentioned. This indeed must affect the analysis and conclusions, but we considered that for this preliminary study we could establish some initial results from this small survey, with an eye on improving this analysis with a larger and more representative corpus.

5. Corpus collection and assessment

Collecting manuscripts, books and newspapers for analysis is very laborious in nature. But this was done for a long time, particularly by Academic researchers. Thankfully, as technological advances make the computerized storage of and access to large quantities of information easier, so the construction and use of text corpora will continue to increase. As a result the potential for research has widened considerably [13, 22]. The importance of corpora to linguistic study is growing. A corpus to a linguist is very valuable because it allows statements to be made about language in a very convincing fashion. The actual use of the corpus includes studies in the grammar, lexicography, language variations, historical linguistics, language acquisition, and language pedagogy.

We used a locally developed spider program to get the data from each site. The spider was initialized with one of the main links in the top hierarchy of the site along with the level of depth to which it should collect document from. The spider will traverse the links and save the pages linked to the main page in a top-down fashion until it reaches the depth specified. The spider runs every morning, (basically evening in the Arab world), which avoids peak traffic time, when people will be reading the newspaper, and also avoid creating problems that could be caused to the server by successive hits from the spider robot. We kept the spider running for a period of more than 3 months in the year of 2002 and collected 107 days of daily issues. Details about the size/number of files per newspaper are shown in the Table 10.

The steps included filtering the data by stripping the HTML tags and extracting the raw text in the page; then tagging the data collected with appropriate tags for referencing the source and other information. Mostly Arabic web pages use Windows-1256 –cp1256- as the codeset for the pages, few other use other encodings such as ISO-9959-6 or UTF8. Therefore; the next step was to convert the data to a common encoding usable by the analysis tools.

Before indexing the data, we reviewed all the data to check for specific formats that were added for general formatting of the text, such as the link character kasheeda (known also as taweel), which may be added for cosmetic purpose and has no effect on the text, for example, "ماحب السمو" "الأحداث" "مدة" respectively.

We also considered removing all the diacritics because Modern Standard Arabic is generally written without diacritics, though in very rare cases people may use them in this type of media primarily for clarification purposes. Contrary to previous experiments [4, 10,12, 15,16], we kept the text close to its original format other than the previous mentioned changes and we did not apply any further processing of what is called Normalization [1,2]

We believe that some of these normalizations will hide a lot of features and create more ambiguity knowing that replacing initial ! or ! with bare alif ! means ن could be ن . ان or ! ن . ان . The same normalization could hide local variants of the same word as the case for the word "انترنت" Usually in the Middle East they use "انترنت" in contrast to North Africa where they use "انترنت" bearing in mind that there are reasons behind this; in the Middle east they use a transliteration of the word "Internet" from English versus in North Africa where the transliteration of the French word for Internet is used [1]. A corpus by itself can do nothing at all; being nothing other than a store of used language [20]. Corpus access software can re-arrange that store so that observations of various kinds can be made. Using available tools we first experimented by applying some statistical and probability tests, such as Zipf's law and the Mandelbrot formula. These tests are useful for describing the frequency distribution of the words in the corpus. Also they are well-known tests for gauging data sparseness and providing evidence of any imbalance of the dataset.

Table 11. Number of words per collection									
Source	Number of Files	Total Words	Distinct Words	Ratio					
Ahram	1567	455,366	16,569	3.639					
Alraialaam	390	1,160,203	97,580	8.411					
Alwatan	4000	4,714,199	122,467	2.598					
Aps	4000	2,512,426	52,481	2.089					
Assafir	4000	3,448,639	121,911	3.535					
Jazirah	3723	1,405,083	84,638	6.024					
Morocco	4000	3,306,137	19,092	0.577					
Petra	3567	989,140	45,896	4.640					
Raya	270	612,409	55,868	9.123					
Teshreen	4000	1,467,368	49,067	3.344					
Uruklink	4000	2,378,499	32,899	1.383					

As a result, from Table 11, which presents a summary of the collection, for number of this datasets, there is no reason to believe that the datasets are imbalanced; Except the Moroccan dataset and the Iraqi one which, we believe to be replaced either by collecting more data or looking for an alternative source from the same area, the rest of the datasets we believe are a real complete representative corpus for the area and that a serious study on these corpuses would bring and reveals very important information about this corpus and the Arabic language in general.

Test	Ahram	Alrailaam	Alwatan	Aps	Assafir	Jazirah	Morocco	Petra	Raya	Teshreen	Uruklink
Ahram	3.47	11.23	12.79	17.01	12.43	11.95	17.24	12.70	12.30	14.17	14.46
Alraialaam	13.05	4.19	12.34	16.85	11.78	11.74	15.89	12.18	11.79	13.50	13.87
Alwatan	12.93	10.61	2.88	16.40	11.95	11.68	16.27	11.91	11.69	13.45	13.73
	13.01	11.67	13.08	1.36	12.38	12.60	16.05	12.66	12.12	14.03	14.31
Aps Assafir	11.48	11.37	10.77	16.86	3.32	11.25	16.37	10.90	11.94	14.20	11.91
Jazirah	13.27	11.66	13.08	17.45	13.05	1.56	17.19	12.71	12.35	14.27	14.58
	13.13	11.76	13.04	16.89	13.03	12.21	0.40	12.71	12.40	14.20	14.12
Morocco	13.28	11.53	13.10	17.27	12.76	12.37	15.45	2.23	12.55	14.08	14.47
Petra	13.43	11.11	12.84	16.74	12.59	11.88	18.28	12.71	1.49	14.06	14.48
Raya	13.43	12.08	13.22	18.00	13.17	13.00	16.44	12.69	12.63	1.64	14.66
Teshreen	12.89	11.56	12.84	16.40	12.76	11.84	13.54	12.08	12.42	13.76	0.97

Table 12. Perplexity results of language models and test documents

6. Analysis

To assess the collections for variations based on regional distribution we divided each collection to ten parts. We used one tenth for testing and the remaining 9/10 for building the model language. After building the 10 combinations for each collection, we computed the entropy and perplexity value for each document in test data. Table 12 shows the average perplexity for the test samples for each model.

From Table 12 we can see clearly how language structures represented by n-grams differ from place to another. Also we can quantify the language change between neighboring countries and others further away. For example, if we consider the Saudi Jazirah collection, the closest collection to it with the smallest perplexity is Alraialaam, Raya, Petra, Assafir and Alwatan, for the exception of Assafir, all the other collection are from countries that border Saudi Arabia. The other example, we can see clearly how the Algerian APS and the Morrocan Collection varies from the rest of the data, which reflects exactly the distance between these countries and the remaining Arab speaking countries.

To carry this test further we selected a set of documents from AFP corpus and computed the perplexity for the set and the Models built from the other collections.

The first observation about the results is that the distribution of the perplexity values are more uniform compared to the former results. This could be explained by one of two things. First could mean that the AFP collection uses news from the different Arabic world (i.e. covers the styles and the structures used in the Arab media) or could mean that the contributors are from different backgrounds and that influences the quality of the collection. To confirm either hypothesis we contacted the Chief Editor for the Arabic Desk of AFP-Middle East HQ in Nicosia – Cyprus –which was the source of the LDC collection-, we asked the Chief Editor about the background of the staff employed by AFP. Surprisingly, the staff was diverse enough to contain almost sample from each country. The Chief Editor reported "They are all Arabs and mainly Lebanese. But we have also Egyptian, Syrian, Jordanian, Tunisian and Algerian journalists. Some of them have a university diploma in journalism or translation and other in different majors".

The test proves and validates our assumption about the language variation of MSA. See Table 13

LM	AFP	LM	AFP
Ahram	11.77	Morocco	12.03
Alraialaam	10.81	Petra	11.71
Alwatan	10.79	Raya	11.82
Aps	11.99	Teshreen	12.2
Assafir	11.17	Uruklink	12.73
Jazirah	12.05		.2.75

Table 13. Perplexity results for AFP test documents

7. Conclusion and future work

In this paper we have demonstrated existence of regional variations in MSA, building adequate resources will help explore this phenomenon further and take it as an advantage rather than a problematic issue that affects NLP related disciplines. Also, we have described methods for developing resources which can be used to support this type of research and take it further steps forward. The approach also is a fast alternative for building an Atlas Linguistic for MSA.

Our aim is to encourage building such resources and finding a ground to overcome copyright issue, which hinders this type of research, explore further these variations and assess them for building a regional lexicon. Deploying such lexicon in IR systems for example, will improve precisions by reducing the ambiguity of words generated from different usages in different regions.

8. References

11 Abdelali, A. (2004) Localization in Modern Standard Arabic. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and technology (JASIST), Volume 55, Number 1, 2004. pp. 23-28.

[2] Abdelali, A. Cowie, J. Soliman S. H. (2004) Arabic Information Retrieval Perspectives. Proceedings of JEP-TALN 2004 Arabic Language Processing, Fez 19-22. April 2004.

[3] Al Samarae I. (1981). The historical linguistic evolution, 2nd edition. Dar Al Andalus. Beirut, Lebanon. (Book in Arabic).

[4] Al-Kharashi, I. A. and Evans, M. W. (1994) Comparing words, stems, and roots as index terms in an Arabic information retrieval system. Journal of the American Society for Information Science (JASIS) 45(8), pp 548-560.

[5] Cavnar, W. B., and Trenkle, M. J., (1994) N-Gram-Based Text Categorization. Proceedings of SDAIR-94, 3rd Annual Symposium on Document Analysis and Information Retrieval. Las Vegas, US, pp. 161-175, 1994.

[6] Clarkson P.R. and Rosenfeld, R. (1997) Statistical Language Modeling Using the CMU-Cambridge Toolkit. Proceedings ESCA Eurospeech 1997

[7] Cowie, J.; Ludovik; Y., and Zacharski. R. (1999) Language recognition for mono- and multilingual documents. Proceedings of the Vextal Conference. Venice pp. 209-214.

[8] Dunning, T., (1994) Statistical Identification of Language. Technical report CRL MCCS-94-273, Computing Research Lab, New Mexico State University, March 1994.

[9] Filali, H. (2001) Studies on the poem of Mafdi Zakaria El-Kasida magazine, Issue 9, Algeria. (Document in Arabic).

[10] Goweder, A. and De Roeck, A. (2001) Assessment of a significant Arabic corpus. Presented at the Arabic NLP Workshop at ACL/EACL 2001, Toulouse, France, 2001.

[11] Grefenstette, G. (1995) Comparing Two Language Identification Schemes.3rd International Conference on Statistical Analysis of Textual Data. Rome, 1995.

[12]Hmeidi, I., Kanaan, G. and M. Evens (1997) Design and Implementation of Automatic Indexing for Information Retrieval with Arabic Documents. Journal of the American Society for Information Science, 48/10, pp. 867-881.

[13] Hunston, S. Corpora in applied linguistics Cambridge University Press May 2002.

[14]Kirchhoff, K. (2002) Novel Speech Recognition Models for Arabic. Johns-Hopkins University Summer Research Workshop 2002, Final Report.

[15]Larkey, L. S. and Connell, M. (2002) Arabic Information Retrieval at UMass in TREC-10 In Voorhees, E.M. & Harman, D.K. (Eds.) The Tenth Text Retrieval Conference, TREC 2001 NIST Special Publication 500-250, pp. 562-570.

[16]Larkey, L. S., Ballesteros, L., and Connell, M. (2002) Improving Stemming for Arabic Information Retrieval, Proceedings of SIGIR 2002, pp. 275-282

[17] Ludovik, Y., and Zacharski. R. (1999) Multilingual document language recognition. Proceedings of the Machine Translation Summit VII. Singapore. pp. 317-323.

[18] Maamouri, M., (1998) Arabic Diglossia and its Impact on the Quality of Education in the Arab Region HUMAN DEVELOPMENT: MOVING FORWARD WORKSHOP. Mediterranean Development Forum. Marrakech, Morocco. September 3 - 6, 1998

[19]MadarResearch-InFocustArticle(2004)http://www.madarresearch.com/news/newsdetail.aspx?nwsId=6Retrieved Sept 22, 2004

[20] Manning, C., Schütze, H. (1999) Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing, MIT Press. Cambridge, MA. May 1999. ISBN 0-262-133600-1

[21]McNamee, P., (2004) Language Identification: A Solved Problem Suitable for Undergraduate Instruction. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Consortium for Computing Sciences in Colleges East (CCSCE-04), pp. 94-101, October 2004.

[22] Meyer, C. F. (2002) English corpus linguistics: an introduction Cambridge University Press July 2002.

[23] Moreh, S. (1988) Studies in Modern Arabic Prose and Poetry, Leiden, E.J. Brill, 1988.

[24] Stetkevych, J., (1970) The Modern Arabic Literary Language Lexical and Stylistic Developments University of Chicago 1970.

[25] Van Mol, Mark (2000). "Exploring annotated Arabic corpora, preliminary results", in Corpora and Natural Language Processing, proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial and Computational Intelligence for Decision, Control and Automation in Engineering and Industrial Applications, Monastir, pp. 94-98.

[26] Worldwide Internet Population. (2002) www.commerce.net/other/research/stats/wwstats.html Retrieved Sept 14, 2002.

[27]Xu, J. Fraser, A. Weischedel M. R. (2001) TREC 2001 Cross-lingual Retrieval at BBN NIST Text RE-trieval Conference TREC10 Proceedings, Gaithersburg, MD, pp. 68-77.

Appendix A

Country	January	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.	Oct.	November	Decem
Algeria	جانفی	فيفر ي	مارس	افريل	ماي	جوان	جويلية	اوت	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Bahrain	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نوفمبر	ديسمبر
Egypt	يناير	فبر ایر	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يو نيو	يو ليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Iraq	كانون الثاني	شباط	اذار	نيسان	ايار	حزيران	تموز	اب	ايلول	تشرين الاول	تشرين الثاني	ون الأول
Jordan	كانون الثاني	شباط	اذار	نيسان	ايار	حزيران	تموز	اب	ايلول	تشرين الاول	تشرين الثاني	ون الاول
Kuwait	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يو ليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Lebanon	كانون الثاني	شباط	اذار	نيسان	ايار	حزيران	تموز	اب	ايلول	تشرين الاول	تشرين الثاني	ون الاول
Libya	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يو ليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Litoju	أي الذار	النوار	الربيع	الطير	الماء	الصيف	ناصر	هانيبال	الفاتح	التمور	الحرث	الكانون
Morocco	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يوليوز	غشت	شتنبر	اكتوبر	نو نير	دجنبر
Oman	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يو نيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمير	ديسمبر
Qatar	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Saudi	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Syria	کانون الثاني	شباط	اذار	نيسان	ايار	حزيران	تموز	اب	ايلول	تشرين الاول	تشرين الثاني	ون الاول
Tunisia	جانفی	فيفري	مارس	افريل	ماي	جوان	جويلية	اوت	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمير	ديسمبر
UAE	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يونيو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نوفمبر	ديسمبر
Yemen	يناير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يونيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر
Mauritania	يدير	فبراير	مارس	ابريل	مايو	يو نيو	يوليو	اغسطس	سبتمبر	اكتوبر	نو فمبر	ديسمبر

Table 1. List of months name used in Arabic calendars.